



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/733,302	12/12/2003	Junichi Tamura	OKI 402	7322
23995	7590	01/16/2008		
RABIN & Berdo, PC 1101 14TH STREET, NW SUITE 500 WASHINGTON, DC 20005			EXAMINER THOMAS, SHANE M	
			ART UNIT 2186	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 01/16/2008	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary

Application No.

10/733,302

Applicant(s)

TAMURA, JUNICHI

Examiner

Shane M. Thomas

Art Unit

2186

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Shane M. Thomas.

(3) _____.

(2) Steve Rabin (Reg. No. 29102).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 January 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 13.

Identification of prior art discussed: Beletsky (U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2004/0148153).


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant initiated interview to discuss the reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter indicated by the Examiner in the Non-Final Office action filed 11/8/2007. The Applicant pointed out that the reasoning for allowable subject matter was inconsistent with the claim language. Claim 13 states "substituting a register for a stack pointer." While the Examiner correctly listed the limitation as when stating "the reading and storing steps being carried out using a register instead of a stack pointer," the Examiner incorrectly stated in the following sentence "the use of substituting the stack pointer for a register." The Examiner agreed with the Applicant that the latter sentence should have read "the use of the substituting a register for the stack pointer." Additionally, the Applicant raised the point that claim 13 appears to have a 11, second paragraph, issue regarding indefiniteness surrounding the claim. The Examiner will make note of this indefinite comment and carefully consider the claim language in future correspondence. The Applicant also ascertained advice regarding how to combine the limitation of allowable claim 13 with the independent claim 1. The Examiner suggested amending claim 1 to include the limitation of claim 13 and intervening claim 11 and also, using language from the specification, indicate how the stack pointer is used during certain instances and during other instances the value contained in a register is substituted for that stack pointer.